### ANNEX 2 to

## Veseli and Krasniqi Defence Appeal

# against the Second Decision on Specialist Prosecutor's Bar Table

Motion

Public

#### **List of Authorities**

#### **International Criminal Court:**

- Prosecutor v. Lubanga Dyilo, ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgment on the Appeal of Thomas Lubanga Dyilo against his Conviction</u>, 1 December 2014, para. 18;
- Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-2276-Red, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgment on the Appeals of the Prosecutor, Mr Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo, Mr Fidèle</u>

  <u>Babala Wandu and Mr Narcisse Arido against the Decision of Trial Chamber VII</u>

  <u>Entitled "Decision on Sentence pursuant to Article 76 of the Statute"</u>, 8 March 2018, para. 90;
- Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-3636-Red, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgment on the Appeal of Mr. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo against Trial Chamber III's Judgment</u>, 8 June 2018, para. 37;
- Prosecutor v. Al Hassan, ICC-01/12-01/18-601-Red, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgment on the Appeal of Mr Al Hassan against the Decision of Pre-Trial Chamber I Entitled 'Décision Relative à L'exception D'irrecevabilité pour Insuffisance de Gravité de L'affaire Soulevée par la Défense', 19 February 2020, para. 38;</u>
- Prosecutor v. Ntaganda, ICC-01/04-02/06-2666-Red, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgment on the Appeals of Mr Bosco Ntaganda and the Prosecutor against the Decision of Trial Chamber VI of 8 July 2019 Entitled 'Judgment'</u>, 30 March 2021, para. 36;
- *Prosecutor v. Mbarushimana*, 01/04-01/10-329-Corr, Defence for Mr. Callixte Mbarushimana, <u>Defence Request for a Ruling on the Admissibility of Two Categories of Evidence</u>, 10 August 2011, para. 9.

## <u>International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia:</u>

- *Prosecutor v. Kordić and Čerkez*, IT-65-14/2-A, Appeal Chamber, <u>Judgement</u>, 17 December 2004, para. 16;
- *Prosecutor v. Vasiljević*, IT-98-32-A, Appeals Chamber, <u>Judgement</u>, 25 February 2004, para. 6;
- *Prosecutor v. Limaj et al.*, IT-03-66-A, Appeals Chamber, *Judgement*, 27 September 2007, para. 9.

## **European Court of Human Rights:**

- Artico v. Italy, no. 6694/74, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 13 May 1980, para. 33;
- Soering v. the United Kingdom, no. 14038/88, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 7 July 1989, para. 87;
- Cruz Varas and Others v. Sweden, no. 15576/89, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 20 March 1991, para. 99;
- Buck v. Germany, no. 41604/98, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 28 July 2005. para. 52;
- Wieser and Bicos Beteiligungen GmbH v. Austria, no. 74336/01, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 16 January 2008, paras 64-66;
- Petri Sallinen and Others v. Finland, no. 50882/99, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 27 December 2005, para. 90;
- Saber v. Norway, no. 459/18, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 17 March 2021, para. 50;

- Narinen v. Finland, no. 45027/98, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 1 September 2004, para. 36;
- Salduz v. Turkey, no. 36391/02, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 27 November 2008, para. 54;
- Çimen v. Turkey, no. 19582/02, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 3 May 2009, para. 27;
- Panovits v. Cyprus, no. 4268/04, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 11 March 2009, paras 75, 84-86;
- Budak v. Turkey, no. 69762/12, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 16 May 2021, para. 89;
- Botea v. Romania, no. 40872/04, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 10 March 2014, paras 42-43;
- *Ibrahim and Others v. the United Kingdom*, nos. 50541/08, 50571/08, 50573/08 and 40351/09, *Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)*, 13 September 2016, paras 256-258;
- Beuze v. Belgium, no. 71409/10, <u>Judgment (Merits and Just Satisfaction)</u>, 9 November 2018, paras 142, 161.